IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Case No. MBHB00-388-A)

In to Application of: Nolan et al. Group Art Unit: 1617 Serial No.: 09/851,743 Examiner: S.J. Sharareh Filed: May 9, 2001 For: Methods for Testing Compounds Useful in Treating Diabetic Compounds Commissioner of Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

In regard to the above-identified patent application:

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
 - Response to Office Action Mailed July 28, 2004
 - Postcard b.
- 2. With respect to additional fees:

No additional fee is required. B. Attached is a check in the amount of

- 3. Please charge any additional fees or credit over-payments to the Deposit Account No.13-2490.
- CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 (FIRST CLASS MAIL): The 4. undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the document(s) as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313, on this 28th day of September 2004.

Dated: September 28, 2004

Kevin E. Noonan Registration No. 35,303

Bv:

In the Application of:		PATENT
	Nolan et al.	
Serial No.:	09/851,743	Before the Examiner: S. J. Sharareh
Filed:	May 9, 2001	Group Art Unit: 1617
	ods for Testing Compounds Useful) eating Diabetic Complications)	

RESPONSE TO THE OFFICIAL ACTION MAILED JULY 28, 2004

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 20231

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action, mailed July 28, 2004, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the pending claims in light of the following amendments and remarks. Remarks begin at page 5 of this response.

Status of the Claims in the application

Claims 1 and 13 as herein amended, and claims 2-4, 6-7, 14-16, 18-19, 25-26, 28-31, and 33-35 are pending in the application. The amendments are supported throughout the specification and claims as originally filed, and thus do not constitute new matter. The grounds of rejection asserted in the Official Action have been overcome by amendment or traversed by argument below.